#### walt ALLNER

box843, Moville, Iowa 51039

August 08, 2005 05:33 PM

Ms. Marlene Dortch
Federal Communications Commission DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

cc:

Senator Chuck Grassley Senator Tom Harkin FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE



#### UNITED FARMERS TELEPHONE COMPANY

216 North Main

Everly, lowa 51338

Phone 712-834-2211

**RECEIVED & INSPECTED** 

AUG 1 5 2005

FCC-MAILROOM

August 9, 2005

Karen Majcher, Vice President, High Cost & Low Income Division Universal Service Administrative Company 2000 L Street, N.W. Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 200364

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Ms. Majcher:

This letter serves as the required notification under Section 36.605 of the FCC's rules and CC Docket No. 96-45 that United Farmers Telephone Company, study area code 351316 qualifies for Safety Net Support as its 2004 TPIS growth per loop over 2003 is 27.44%. I am authorized to make this certification. NECA has been supplied the cost study and supporting documentation.

If you have any questions, please contact our consultant, Gordy Kraut of Kiesling Associates LLP at 217-698-0001, extension 1.

Sincerely,

Roxanne White General Manager

du White

cc: Gordy Kraut, Kiesling Associates LLP, 993 Clocktower Drive, Suite A, Springfield, IL, 62704

Norm St. Laurent, National Exchange Carrier Association, 11218 John Galt Boulevard, Suite 202, Omaha, NE 68137

Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 9300 East

Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743

No. of Copies rec'd O
List ABODE

AUG 1 5 2005

#### susan graham

p.o. box 1405, enumclaw, Washington 98022-1405

FCC-MAILROOM

August 10, 2005 04:59 PM
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

**Dear The Federal Communications Commission:** 

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me — consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

susan graham

cc:

Senator Patty Murray Senator Maria Cantwell

No. of Copies rec'd	0
List ABCDE	

AUG 1 5 2005

#### susan graham

p.o. box 1405, enumclaw, Washington 98022-1405

FCC-MAILROOM

August 10, 2005 04:59 PM

The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me — consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

susan graham

cc:

Senator Patty Murray Senator Maria Cantwell

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

AUG 1 5 2005

**FCC-MAILROOM** 

#### susan graham

p.o. box 1405, enumclaw, Washington 98022-1405

August 10, 2005 04:59 PM

The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me — consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

susan graham

cc:

Senator Patty Murray Senator Maria Cantwell

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE	0	_

#### John Watts

12 Laurel Rd P.O.Box 341, Ellington, Connecticut 06029

August 05, 2005 08:03 PM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY OF GINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

John Watts

cc:

Senator Chris Dodd Senator Joe Lieberman Representative Rob Simmons FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Copies rec'd 0 List ABCDE

AUG 1 5 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

#### **Anna Harris**

5012 Northland Ave, St. Louis, Missouri 63113-1015

August 01, 2005 04:54 PM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COMY ORIGINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

Anna Harris

CC:

Senator Christopher Bond Senator Jim Talent Representative William Clay FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Copies List ABCDE	rec'd
_	

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 1 5 2005

ECC.- MAILROOM

virgil miller

3046 Dexter St, Denver, Colorado 80207

August 07, 2005 11:33 PM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554 DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.

Thank you.

Sincerel

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

cc:

Senator Wayne Allard Representative Diana DeGette Senator Ken Salazar FCC Chairman Keyin Martin

#### **Dion Johnson**

265 Woodland Dr., Scotts Valley, California 95066-2682

Augus 06, 2005 06:13 PM AUG 1 5 2005

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal represents excessive taxation. Any fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

Please oppose this unreasonable tax increase.

Sincerely,

Dion Johnson

cc:

FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Senator Dianne Feinstein Senator Barbara Boxer

Representative Anna Eshoo

FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Cooles rec'd 0 List ABCDE Sophia McDaniels

Washington, DC 20554

1847 E Pacific Street , Philadelphia, Pennsylvan

AUG 1 5 2005

Ms. Marlene Dortch
Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street, SW

AUG 1 5 2005

August 09, 2005 09:12 AM

DOCKET FILE COPY OF GRIGINAL

FCC - MAILROOM

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

Sophia McDaniels

Sophia McDaniels

cc:

Senator Arlen Specter Senator Rick Santorum Representative Allyson Schwartz FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Coolea rec'd 0 List ABODE



### George J. Belaus

145 Harriet Street, Throop, Pennsylvania 18512-3316

August 07, 2005 10:00 AM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554 DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

George J. Belaus

cc:

Senator Arlen Specter Senator Rick Santorum Representative Paul Kanjorski FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Cooles recid	0
List ABCDE	

#### Richard Vanni

9834 Indian Key Trail, Seminole, Florida 33776

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554 August 09 ARGEINED AUG 1 5 2005
FCC - MAILROOM

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me — consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

Richard Vanni

cc:

Representative Bill Young Senator Bill Nelson Senator Mel Martinez FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Copies rec'd O List ABCDE

#### Patricia Barbee

P. O Box 306, Pembroke, Georgia 31321-0306

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 1 5 2005

August 09, 2004 1

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.

Thank you.

Singerely,

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

cc:

Senator Saxby Chambliss Senator Johnny Isakson Representative John Barrow FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Cooles rec'd \_\_\_\_\_\_ List ABCDE

#### **Steve Hendrick**

PO Box 1514, Noxon, Montana 59853

August 10, 2005 01:21 PM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

200

Steve Hendrick

CC:

Senator Max Baucus
Senator Conrad Burns
Representative Denny Rehberg
FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Cooles rec'd O

AUG 1 5 2005

**FCC-MAILROOM** 

Phis !

#### m. stambaugh

9015 county road L, delta, Ohio 43515

August 09, 2005 10:20 AM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY OFIGMAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.

Hambough

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

m. stambaugh

cc:

Senator Mike DeWine Representative Paul Gillmor Senator George Voinovich FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Coblea rec'd D

#### DAVID BRODAR

3995 EAST 34TH COURT, HOBART, Indiana 46342-1401

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554 RECEIVED & INSPECTED
August 10, 2005 10:37 AM
AUG 1 5 3005
FCC - MAILROOM

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docker 96 450 FIGNAL

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

DAVID BRODAR

cc:

Senator Richard Lugar Representative Pete Visclosky Senator Evan Bayh FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Cocies rec'd O List ABCDE

AUG 1 5 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

### **Mary Collins**

12 Randall Road, Reading, Massachusetts 01867

August 09, 2005 09:42 AM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,
Many Callins
Mary Collins

cc:

Senator Edward Kennedy Senator John Kerry Representative John Tierney FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Copies rec'd 0

### **Barry Gridley**

340 Old Mill Rd. #93, Santa Barbara, California 93110

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554



Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

Barry Gridley

cc:

Senator Dianne Feinstein Senator Barbara Boxer Representative Lois Capps FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Copies	rec'd_	0
List ABCDE		

AUG 1 5 2005

#### George Miller

169 Hancock Road, Campbellsville, Kentucky 42718

FOO-MAILROOM

August 09, 2005 11:33 AM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL.

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Pay attention to your voters. I would think it is clear what they want from you by now. Remember, you are a servant of the people. If you forget that I will also come election time.

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

George Miller

cc:

Senator Mitch McConnell Representative Ron Lewis Senator Jim Bunning

No. of Copies List ABCDE	rec'd	•
		_

AUG 1 5 2005

# George Miller

169 Hancock Road, Campbellsville, Kentucky 42718

FCC - MAILROOM

August 09, 2005 11:33 AM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY OF IGNAL
Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Pay attention to your voters. I would think it is clear what they want from you by now. Remember, you are a servant of the people. If you forget that I will also come election time.

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerets,
Leoge Miller
George Miller

cc:

Senator Mitch McConnell Representative Ron Lewis Senator Jim Bunning

No. of Copies List ABCDE	rec'd
-----------------------------	-------

AUG 1 5 2005

### George Miller

169 Hancock Road, Campbellsville, Kentucky 42718

FCC - MAILROOM

August 09, 2005 11:33 AM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as highvolume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal, Pay attention to your voters. I would think it is clear what they want from you by now. Remember, you are a servant of the people. If you forget that I will also come election time.

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

ye Milles

cc:

Senator Mitch McConnell Representative Ron Lewis Senator Jim Bunning

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE	0
------------------------------------	---

# George Miller

169 Hancock Road, Campbellsville, Kentucky 42718

August 09, 2005 11:33 AM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Pay attention to your voters. I would think it is clear what they want from you by now. Remember, you are a servant of the people. If you forget that I will also come election time.

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

George Miller

cc:

Senator Mitch McConnell Representative Ron Lewis Senator Jim Bunning

No. of Copies rec'd O List ABCDE

### George Miller

169 Hancock Road, Campbellsville, Kentucky 42718

August 09, 2005 11:33 AM

Ms. Mariene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Pay attention to your voters. I would think it is clear what they want from you by now. Remember, you are a servant of the people. If you forget that I will also come election time.

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincefely,

Menys Miller

George Miller

cc:

Senator Mitch McConnell Representative Ron Lewis Senator Jim Bunning

No. of Copies rec'd O List ABCDE

AUG 1 5 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

# Georgiann Shaeffer

1687 Old Staunton rd , Troy, Ohio 45373-2044

August 09, 2005 02:27 PM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

Georgiann Shaeffer

cc:

Senator Mike DeWine Representative John Boehner Senator George Voinovich FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Capies rec'd_	0
List ABCDE	